# DISCUSSION

Presentation to the 2015 Joint Subcommittee Sarah Herzog, Senate Finance Committee Staff Susan Hogge, House Appropriations Committee Staff Friday, July 24, 2015

## Joint Subcommittee's Legislative Charge Goals and Objectives

"The goals and objectives of the Joint Subcommittee will be to consider increasing accountability, flexibility, innovation, clarification of the state's role and policy relating to providing a preschool for economically disadvantaged children, and to further develop the facilitation of partnerships between school divisions and private providers for the Virginia Preschool Initiative.

The Subcommittee will also review and consider possible recommendations regarding the development of a **competency-based professional development framework for early childhood teachers in public schools and early learning practitioners in private early learning settings**."

## Proposed Work Plan and Future Meetings

## Toward Preliminary Findings & Recommendations on November 1, 2015

#### ☑ <u>June 11</u>

- VPI State PreK Program Overview
- Review of 2007 JLARC Study
- Approaches to State PreK Eligibility Policies: High Need Children
- First Virginia-specific Longitudinal Study: Predicting On-Time Promotions
- Review of Federal VPI+ Expansion Grant

#### ☑ <u>July 24</u>

٠

- Current Status of Mixed Delivery in Virginia
- Other Updates and Stakeholder Input

#### August 25 - Preliminary

- More National Perspectives and Highlights of Other States' Experiences, Related to Mixed Delivery, Teacher Qualifications/Quality, Student Eligibility, and Longitudinal Evaluation
  - Steve Barnett of National Institute for Early Education Research (NIEER)
  - Southern Regional Education Board's (SREB) 2014-15 Early Childhood Commission
  - Economics/ROI (e.g. Federal Reserve's Jim Heckman, Cato/GMU's David Armor, VT's Craig Ramey, others)
  - Child Development & Brain Research, Parental Involvement
  - Summary of selected states (e.g. North Carolina, Michigan, Georgia, Connecticut)
  - Additional Virginia-Specific Information
    - VPI Program Detail from VDOE
    - Department of Social Services
    - Current Education and Training, including Community College Early Childhood Education Program
    - Kindergarten Readiness Assessment
- Any Additional Stakeholder Perspectives (e.g. Business/Chamber, Kindergarten Teachers, other)

#### First Week in October

• Possible Findings, Recommendations, Areas of Further Study (Recommend Extending Group an Additional Year?) 3



Source: November 2006 NCSL staff presentation to House Appropriations Committee

Current, Stated Legislative Intent of VPI:

### "to provide <u>quality</u> preschool programs for <u>at-risk</u> four-year-olds...to be <u>ready to</u> <u>successfully enter kindergarten</u>..."

#### **Quality Standards**

- What is quality, and what is the right balance with cost and access?
- What are the current program standards and why? How did the current licensure landscape evolve? Are current teacher qualifications, class size standards, and other requirements appropriate?

### **Program Design**

• What does/should at-risk mean in terms of student eligibility?

### **Service Delivery**

• What are the barriers to more mixed delivery than we have right now? (inertia, Lottery funding, cost?) What can the subcommittee do to promote a stronger partnership between the public and private sector Pre-K programs?

Current, Stated Legislative Intent of VPI:

### "to provide <u>quality</u> preschool programs for <u>at-risk</u> four-year-olds...to be <u>ready to</u> <u>successfully enter kindergarten</u>..." (continued)

#### Financing, Accountability, and Governance

- Is the current formula a good match for local need? What are the barriers to some localities using all slots while others have wait lists?
- Are refinements needed/desired to the intent statement (such as inserting "access to affordable" quality programs, specifically reference varied settings?) <u>and/or</u> to funding and/or accountability requirements in order to enhance confidence in fidelity to those goals?
- What are the next steps for research?
- Does increased kindergarten readiness also benefit non-VPI students by raising the skills and behavior of entering children to allow teachers to instruct all students in the classroom to a higher standard?
- What is kindergarten readiness today? And why aren't children ready?
  - Although previous generations may not have attended preK (or kindergarten), globalization/technology and brain research (along with standardized testing) have contributed to increased expectations, now balanced with increasing reemphasis on developmentally appropriate approaches. At the same time, gaps start even earlier than age four.

## To Successfully Enter Kindergarten and... Graduate College and Career Ready

□ What should be the balance/priority amongst such preK-related components as:

- Outreach to locate harder to find/enroll income-eligible families and students,
- Program evaluation, research/data, assessment,
- State technical assistance to local programs and private settings,
- Improving quality via support for early childhood educators' professional development and workforce skills,
- Access (students served),
- Summer bridge or other programs (community-based drop-in) to reach remaining students entering kindergarten with no formal preK,
- Funded per pupil cost and/or state's share (currently minimum of 50%)?
- Or, are there related early childhood programs the subcommittee should also consider, such as reducing teen pregnancy, home visiting, post-secondary savings incentives (match family contributions to 529 accounts), family reading programs, music instruction, elementary school foreign language, etc.?
- □ Should the Commonwealth consider ways to re-align 12th grade (dual enrollment, industry/career certification) to allow more front-loading of educational investments?
- □ Should we consider/encourage earlier kindergarten entry age if appropriate to minimize gaps?
- $\Box$  What else?