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## - General Overview of State Workforce

- Review of Age Demographics and Trends in the State Workforce
- Review of Employee Compensation
- Update on the VRS Hybrid Plan


## Breakout of State Manpower as of June 30, 2015



Executive Branch - "Other Salaried" includes at-will positions and full-time "university positions"

## 75\% of the State's 103,121 Salaried Employees Are Concentrated in These 6 Areas



* Higher education salaried employees includes full-time faculty, classified employees, administrators and university employees (accounts for $47.3 \%$ of the state salaried workforce)


## State Workforce is Spread Across the State

(Distribution of Classified Employees as of June 30, 2015)


- Approximately $30 \%$ of the state's 64,443 classified employees are located in the Richmond area, with the remaining 70\% spread throughout the rest of the state
- General Overview of State Workforce
- Review of Age Demographics and Trends in the State Workforce
- Review of Employee Compensation
- Update on the VRS Hybrid Plan


## Demographics of Classified Employees

|  | June 30, 2008 | June 30, 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Average Age | 45.5 yrs | 46.7 yrs |
| Avg. Yrs. of Service | 11.0 yrs | 12.0 yrs |
| Average Salary | $\$ 42,136$ | $\$ 46,327$ * |
| Currently Eligible to Retire | $9.5 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ |
| Eligible to Retire in Less <br> than $\leq 5$ yrs | $22.4 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ |
| Retirement Rate | FY 2008-3.1\% | FY 2015-3.3\% |
| Turnover Rate | FY 2008-12.4\% | FY 2015-13.2\% |

* Almost 50\% of the increase in the average annual salary between 2008 and 2015 is attributable to the $5 \%$ VRS swap (increasing gross pay $5 \%$ to offset the impact of reinstating the $5 \%$ VRS employee contribution).


## The State Employee Workforce is Significantly Older Than the US Workforce Overall



## Key Agencies Are Facing Significant Brain Drain as a Large Percentage of Their Employees Will be Eligible to Retire Over the Next Five Years

\(\left.\begin{array}{|l|c|c|}\hline \& Total \# of <br>

Employees\end{array}\right]\)| Eligible in 5 Yrs |
| :---: |
| or less |$|$| State Police Troopers - SPORS | 1,992 | $30.32 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Central VA Training Center | 1,032 | $37.21 \%$ |
| Eastern Regional Correctional Field Unit | 41 | $46.34 \%$ |
| Dept. Mines Minerals \& Energy Total | 196 | $47.45 \%$ |
| Alcohol Beverage Control - VaLORS Positions | 119 | $43.70 \%$ |
| Virginia Employment Commission | 716 | $41.62 \%$ |
| VDOT -Richmond District | 1,316 | $40.81 \%$ |
| Department of Education | 246 | $39.84 \%$ |
| Division of Legislative Services | 66 | $39.39 \%$ |
| Woodrow Wilson Rehabilitation Center | 250 | $39.20 \%$ |
| Dept. of Visually Handicapped | 122 | $38.52 \%$ |
| Library of Virginia | 120 | $37.50 \%$ |
| Dept. of Forestry | 225 | $36.89 \%$ |
| Dept. of Taxation | 802 | $34.79 \%$ |
| Dept. Game \& Inland Fisheries - VaLORS Positions | 153 | $32.68 \%$ |

[^0]
## State is Facing Potential Shortages in Specialized Occupations Due to Potential Retirements

| Career Group | \# of Employees | Eligible in 5 <br> Yrs or less |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Minerals Regulatory Services | 115 | $49.57 \%$ |
| Engineering Technology | 931 | $41.14 \%$ |
| Utility Plant Operations | 217 | $38.71 \%$ |
| Library Services | 485 | $36.91 \%$ |
| Agricultural Services | 212 | $36.79 \%$ |
| Procurement | 417 | $36.69 \%$ |
| Land Acquisition/Property Management | 123 | $36.59 \%$ |
| Physician Services | 166 | $36.14 \%$ |
| General Administration | 1,811 | $35.73 \%$ |
| Audit and Management Services | 287 | $35.19 \%$ |
| Building Trades | 2,450 | $32.94 \%$ |
| Transportation Operations | 3,265 | $32.80 \%$ |
| Financial Services | 2,141 | $31.15 \%$ |
| Environmental Services | 1,467 | $30.95 \%$ |
| Electronics | 266 | $30.83 \%$ |

## In Addition to Looming Retirements, the State Continues to Have Difficulty Attracting and Retaining New Employees



* Approximately 63\% of New Hires Leave State Service Prior to reaching 5 years of service


## While the Turnover Rate is High for All New Hires, This is Especially True for Employees Under Age 35



## - General Overview of State Workforce

- Review of Age Demographics and Trends in the State Workforce

Review of Employee Compensation

- Update on the VRS Hybrid Plan


## Low Income Continues to Be a Problem

Distribution of Salaries - Classified Employees
\# of Employees


## There Continues to Be A Number of State Employees Qualifying for Federal Assistance Programs

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | SNAP | Medicaid | TANF | Total In At Least One Program |
| 2015 | 856 | 97 | 12 | 892 |


|  | Earned Income <br> Tax Credit | Threshold - <br> Single Filers | Threshold - Joint <br> Filers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year 2010 | 9,125 | $\$ 11,650$ | $\$ 23,300$ |
| Tax Year 2014 | 9,501 | $\$ 11,950$ | $\$ 23,900$ |

The Average Salary for Classified Employees Increased Only 5.3\% from FY 2009 Through FY 2015 after Adjusting for the Salary Action Related to 5\% VRS Employee Contribution


- A COLA adjustment and a compression adjustment was funded in FY 2014. A second COLA and compression adjustment was funded in the beginning of FY 2016


## Stagnant Salaries For Current Employees Has Resulted in New Hires Entering the State Work Force With Salaries Higher Than Long Term Employees

| Job Role | \# of FY 2015 New Hires | Avg. Starting Salary for New Hires | Total \# of Employees in FY 2015 | Avg. Salary All Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Security Officer II | 28 | \$28,117 | 46 | \$26,440 |
| Trades Technician II | 25 | \$29,647 | 102 | \$28,172 |
| Engineering Technician III | 19 | \$51,837 | 561 | \$51,362 |
| Prog Admin Manager II | 17 | \$67,053 | 245 | \$64,148 |
| Architect/Engineer II | 16 | \$89,638 | 200 | \$89,122 |
| Prog Admin Manager III | 13 | \$92,050 | 302 | \$89,479 |
| For Sci Spec II/For Scientist I | 9 | \$47,308 | 10 | \$44,827 |
| Engineering Technician II | 8 | \$50,224 | 52 | \$43,765 |
| Prog Admin Specialist III | 8 | \$94,597 | 136 | \$85,421 |
| Compliance/Safety Officer II | 8 | \$41,355 | 25 | \$39,415 |
| Health Care Technologist II | 7 | \$56,817 | 65 | \$49,577 |
| Architect/Engineering Mgr II | 7 | \$111,237 | 197 | \$101,789 |
| PR \& Mktg Mgr II | 6 | \$80,667 | 36 | \$68,379 |
| Engineering Technician IV | 6 | \$73,983 | 133 | \$67,121 |

## DHRM Review of State Employee Compensation

- DHRM is required to perform an annual review of the state employee salaries
- In the latest report, provided in December 2014, DHRM estimated state employee salaries were $26.3 \%$ below salaries for comparable private sector positions
- A complete analysis of total employee compensation has not been completed since the JLARC review in 2008, but DHRM is estimating, by adjusting the 2008 results forward, that state employee total compensation is $21.45 \%$ below market
$\square$ The 2008 study was based strictly on Plan 1 VRS benefits
$\square$ The 21.45\% estimate does not reflect Plan 2 or Hybrid benefit reductions, nor does it reflect changes in private sector benefits since 2008


## Final Thoughts: Demographics \& Compensation

- As a result of an aging work force, a significant portion of our employees will be eligible to retire within the next 5 years
$\square$ There is the potential for significant staffing problems in some specific agencies and occupational roles
- At the same time, the state continues to have difficulty attracting and retaining young workers
$\square$ The turnover during the first 5 years of state service is extremely high, above 60\%
- State employee salaries and overall compensation is significantly below market
- As a result of current salaries being below market, agencies are often bringing in new hires at salaries above those of long-time employees in the same job
- General Overview of State Workforce
- Review of Age Demographics and Trends in the State Workforce
- Review of Employee Compensation $\square$ Update on the VRS Hybrid Plan


## Distribution of VRS Membership

|  | Plan 1 | Plan 2 | Hybrid | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Teachers | 94,790 | 39,779 | 11,189 | $\mathbf{1 4 5 , 7 5 8}$ |
| Political Subdivisions | 62,016 | 33,706 | 9,709 | 105,431 |
| State Employees | 49,673 | 20,949 | 7,582 | 78,204 |
| State Police Officers' Retirement <br> System (SPORS) | 1,446 | 554 | 0 | 2,000 |
| Virginia Law Officers' Retirement <br> System (VaLORS) | 5,002 | 3,777 | 0 | 8,779 |
| Judicial Retirement System (JRS) | $\mathbf{2 8 9}$ | 69 | 46 | 404 |
| Total Active Members | $\mathbf{2 1 3 , 2 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 , 8 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 5 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 0 , 5 7 6}$ |


| Total Active <br> Members | Retirees/ <br> Beneficiaries | Inactive/ <br> Deferred Members | VRS Total <br> Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 340,576 | 184,769 | 134,425 | 659,770 |

## Over 10\% of Members in the State Employee Plan Are Now in the Hybrid



Note: For fiscal years 2013 and beyond, individuals who were not vested were transitioned to Plan 2.

## Over 7\% of Members in the Teacher Plan Are Now in the Hybrid



Note: For fiscal years 2013 and beyond, individuals who were not vested were transitioned to Plan 2.

## Review of Hybrid Benefit

- For the DB component, the Hybrid plan provides a lower multiplier than Plan 1 and Plan 2
$\square 1 \%$ multiplier per year of service (resulting in income replacement of $30 \%$ after 30 years of service), compared to $1.7 \%$ ( $51 \%$ income replacement in Plan 1) and 1.65\% (49.5\% income replacement in Plan 2)
- Other retirement provisions for the Hybrid are identical to Plan 2 (i.e., Rule of 90, 5 -year AFC, and COLA provisions)
- Hybrid members have a total 5\% mandatory employee contribution across the DB and DC components:
$\square 4 \%$ mandatory for the DB component
$\square 1 \%$ mandatory for the DC component (which the employer must match with another 1\%)
- Hybrid members may make additional voluntary contributions to the DC component of the plan, and receive employer matching contributions


## Schedule of Hybrid Contributions

| Defined Benefit (DB) Plan Contributions |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Coniributions | Employee | Employer |$|$| Total employer |
| :--- |
| contribution |
| rate, less employer |
| Mandatory |

## Over 90\% of Hybrid Members Do Not Make Voluntary Contributions

Distribution of Voluntary Employee Contributions as of June 30, 2015


| Voluntary Contributions | $0.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Members | 26,118 | 38 | 151 | 14 | 142 | 27 | 55 | 2 | 2,151 |

* $83 \%$ of those who do make voluntary contributions elect to make the maximum contribution


## Participation is Generally Low Across the Plans and Age Groups

- Participation in the voluntary contribution program is relatively low across age groups
- Only 7\% of employees under the age of 30 make voluntary contributions
- Only 12\% of employees over the age of 50 make voluntary contributions
- Voluntary contribution participation rates are low across all plans except for members of the Judicial Retirement System
- State - 14.16\%
- Teachers - 7.20\%
- Locals - 6.76\%
- JRS - 52.17\%


## Participation Increases At Higher Income Levels

| Employee <br> Salary | Total \# of <br> Members* | $0 \%$ | $0.5 \%-1.5 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ or $2.5 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ or $3.5 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$30K | 10,686 | $97.03 \%$ | $0.26 \%$ | $0.29 \%$ | $0.08 \%$ | $2.34 \%$ |
| \$30K-\$39.99K | 6,302 | $92.32 \%$ | $0.98 \%$ | $0.56 \%$ | $0.13 \%$ | $6.08 \%$ |
| \$40K-\$49.99K | 6,444 | $89.59 \%$ | $0.71 \%$ | $0.67 \%$ | $0.25 \%$ | $8.80 \%$ |
| \$50K-\$59.99K | 2,893 | $84.65 \%$ | $0.93 \%$ | $1.04 \%$ | $0.35 \%$ | $13.03 \%$ |
| \$60K-\$69.99K | 989 | $78.67 \%$ | $1.72 \%$ | $1.52 \%$ | $0.40 \%$ | $17.80 \%$ |
| \$70K-\$79.99K | 535 | $74.39 \%$ | $1.68 \%$ | $1.50 \%$ | $0.56 \%$ | $21.87 \%$ |
| \$80K-\$89.99K | 281 | $67.26 \%$ | $2.14 \%$ | $0.71 \%$ | $1.07 \%$ | $28.83 \%$ |
| \$90K-\$99.99K | 191 | $65.97 \%$ | $2.62 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.52 \%$ | $30.89 \%$ |
| \$100K and Over | 377 | $59.68 \%$ | $0.80 \%$ | $1.33 \%$ | $0.80 \%$ | $37.40 \%$ |

*Includes all active members with a balance in the Hybrid 401(a) Cash Match Plan.
Source: myVRS Navigator Hybrid Member Demographics Report
Note: Due to rounding, the total percentage across each row may not equal $100 \%$.

## Factors Contributing to Participation Rate

- The largest group of hybrid members is under the age of 30
$\square$ This age group has very high turnover and may not view VRS as part of their long term retirement plan
- $80 \%$ of hybrid members earn a salary less than $\$ 50,000$
- Competing goals may be considered to be more immediate than retirement savings (e.g., health care, child care, student loans, aging parents, etc.)
- Plan 1 and Plan 2 do not require any action on the part of the member in order to receive the full benefit
$\square$ Hybrid members must take a more active role in saving for their retirement
$\square$ Encouraging members to take action and save for their retirement requires more robust communication and education efforts than in Plan 1 and Plan 2


## Automatic Escalation for Hybrid Voluntary Contributions

- The Code automatically increases the employee's voluntary contribution by 0.5\% every 3 years, beginning January 1, 2017, until the voluntary contribution reaches 4\%
$\square$ The employee can specifically opt out of the contribution increase
$\square$ By Code, all active Hybrid members are auto-escalated on the same date
- The first escalation will occur on January 1, 2017, then again on January 1, 2020, and every three years thereafter


## VRS Has Implemented Several Outreach Initiatives to Increase Voluntary Employee Contributions



## VRS Is Planning Future Enhancements to Increase Participation

- Develop interactive tools for members and employers that demonstrate the importance of saving
- Develop a mechanism to allow members to make an election at the time of hire
- Send members direct communications to promote importance of voluntary contributions, i.e., celebrate their hire date or work anniversary


## Participating in the Voluntary DC Under the Hybrid is Vital to Having Sufficient Income to Retire

Estimated Income Replament Based on 30 Years of Service -
Enter At Age 35 and Retire at 65


- Analysis assumes DC has $6 \%$ rate of return while working and $4 \%$ during retirement
- Income replacement \% based on estimated final salary, which is assumed to be higher than AFC
- For "Hybrid Max Contribution" employee must contribute $9 \%$ of their salary throughout their career; for other 3 plans, the employee contribution is $5 \%$.


## Employer Contribution Rate is Calculated as a Blended Rate Across the Plans

■ The "Normal Cost" portion of the defined benefit rate is the estimated cost to fund the value of the benefit that is "accrued" during the current fiscal year
$\square$ For the 2016-18 biennium, the estimated cost to fund the DB benefit under the Hybrid is $5.17 \%, 4.0 \%$ of which is paid for by the employee contribution

VRS Board Certified Rate Calculation State Employee Plan - FY 2017 \& 2018

|  | Total DB <br> Normal Cost | Employee DB <br> Contribution | Employer <br> Contribution |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plan 1 Normal Cost Rate | $9.64 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $4.64 \%$ |
| Plan 2 Normal Cost Rate | $8.95 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $3.95 \%$ |
| Hybrid DB Normal Cost Rate | $\underline{5.17 \%}$ | $\underline{4.0 \%}$ | $\underline{1.17 \%}$ |
| Blended Normal Rate Across <br> Plans | $9.10 \%$ | $4.92 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 8 \%}$ |

## Employer Contribution Rate Calculation for 2016-18

- The Final Board certified rate is calculated by including rate adjustments to reflect the cost of amortizing the unfunded liability, providing an administrative surcharge, and an estimate of the cost of the employer DC contributions for the hybrid members
$\square$ The actuaries are assuming a 1.2\% DC contribution per Hybrid employee, 1.0\% mandatory and an average of $0.2 \%$ voluntary.
$\square$ Since rate is blended across members across all 3 plans, the DC rate adjustment is $0.10 \%$ overall

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Employer } \\ \text { Contribution }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Blended Normal Rate Across Plans | $\mathbf{4 . 1 8 \%}$ |
| Administrative Expense Surcharge | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7 \%}$ |
| Amortized Unfunded Liability (Including Expedited Repayment) | $\underline{\mathbf{9 . 9 1 \%}}$ |
| Total Employer Contribution Rate Pursuant to DB Plan | $\mathbf{1 4 . 3 6 \%}$ |
| Adjustment to Rate for DC Hybrid Component |  |$]$


[^0]:    * \% includes employees currently eligible to retire. Overall statewide percentage for classified workforce is $25.4 \%$

