

**Composite Index Comparison**

*Current FY2010-2012  
to Proposed FY2012-2014*

**Composite Index (CI)****Comparison of the FY2010-2012 CI to the Proposed FY2012-2014 CI**

<b>Div</b>	<b>Division Name</b>	<b>2010-2012</b>	<b>2012-2014</b>	<b>*Change from FY2010-12 to FY2012-14</b>
001	ACCOMACK	.3753	.3719	(0.0034)
002	ALBEMARLE	.6872	.6502	(0.0370)
003	ALLEGHANY	.2151	.2297	0.0146
004	AMELIA	.3472	.3473	0.0001
005	AMHERST	.2664	.3075	0.0411
006	APPOMATTOX	.2732	.2945	0.0213
007	ARLINGTON	.8000	.8000	0.0000
008	AUGUSTA	.3416	.3627	0.0211
009	BATH	.8000	.8000	0.0000
010	BEDFORD COUNTY	.4076	.4268	0.0192
011	BLAND	.2724	.3029	0.0305
012	BOTETOURT	.3682	.3710	0.0028
013	BRUNSWICK	.2728	.2837	0.0109
014	BUCHANAN	.2849	.3263	0.0414
015	BUCKINGHAM	.2738	.3104	0.0366
016	CAMPBELL	.2491	.2655	0.0164
017	CAROLINE	.3580	.3306	(0.0274)
018	CARROLL	.2573	.2831	0.0258
019	CHARLES CITY	.4203	.4483	0.0280
020	CHARLOTTE	.2289	.2365	0.0076
021	CHESTERFIELD	.3551	.3539	(0.0012)
022	CLARKE	.5346	.4892	(0.0454)
023	CRAIG	.2903	.3163	0.0260
024	CULPEPER	.4168	.3668	(0.0500)
025	CUMBERLAND	.2805	.2971	0.0166
026	DICKENSON	.1940	.2547	0.0607
027	DINWIDDIE	.2566	.2850	0.0284
028	ESSEX	.4869	.4364	(0.0505)
029	FAIRFAX COUNTY	.7126	.6789	(0.0337)
030	FAUQUIER	.6098	.5377	(0.0721)
031	FLOYD	.3470	.3440	(0.0030)
032	FLUVANNA	.3867	.3924	0.0057
033	FRANKLIN COUNTY	.4012	.4181	0.0169
034	FREDERICK	.3816	.3601	(0.0215)
035	GILES	.2649	.2706	0.0057
036	GLOUCESTER	.3704	.3798	0.0094

**Composite Index (CI)****Comparison of the FY2010-2012 CI to the Proposed FY2012-2014 CI**

<b>Div</b>	<b>Division Name</b>	<b>2010-2012</b>	<b>2012-2014</b>	<b>*Change from FY2010-12 to FY2012-14</b>
037	GOOCHLAND	.8000	<b>.8000</b>	<b>0.0000</b>
038	GRAYSON	.3178	<b>.3385</b>	<b>0.0207</b>
039	GREENE	.3500	<b>.3724</b>	<b>0.0224</b>
040	GREENSVILLE	.1998	<b>.2174</b>	<b>0.0176</b>
041	HALIFAX	.2748	<b>.2943</b>	<b>0.0195</b>
042	HANOVER	.4195	<b>.4203</b>	<b>0.0008</b>
043	HENRICO	.4371	<b>.4276</b>	<b>(0.0095)</b>
044	HENRY	.2315	<b>.2430</b>	<b>0.0115</b>
045	HIGHLAND	.7846	<b>.8000</b>	<b>0.0154</b>
046	ISLE OF WIGHT	.3926	<b>.4258</b>	<b>0.0332</b>
047	JAMES CITY	.5668	<b>.5628</b>	<b>(0.0040)</b>
048	KING GEORGE	.3875	<b>.3787</b>	<b>(0.0088)</b>
049	KING AND QUEEN	.4404	<b>.4469</b>	<b>0.0065</b>
050	KING WILLIAM	.3291	<b>.3375</b>	<b>0.0084</b>
051	LANCASTER	.8000	<b>.7934</b>	<b>(0.0066)</b>
052	LEE	.1692	<b>.1826</b>	<b>0.0134</b>
053	LOUDOUN	.5854	<b>.5666</b>	<b>(0.0188)</b>
054	LOUISA	.5393	<b>.5659</b>	<b>0.0266</b>
055	LUNENBURG	.2308	<b>.2535</b>	<b>0.0227</b>
056	MADISON	.5205	<b>.4486</b>	<b>(0.0719)</b>
057	MATHEWS	.5883	<b>.5589</b>	<b>(0.0294)</b>
058	MECKLENBURG	.3315	<b>.3650</b>	<b>0.0335</b>
059	MIDDLESEX	.7431	<b>.7232</b>	<b>(0.0199)</b>
060	MONTGOMERY	.3550	<b>.4053</b>	<b>0.0503</b>
062	NELSON	.5734	<b>.5928</b>	<b>0.0194</b>
063	NEW KENT	.4312	<b>.4414</b>	<b>0.0102</b>
065	NORTHAMPTON	.5109	<b>.5103</b>	<b>(0.0006)</b>
066	NORTHUMBERLAND	.8000	<b>.8000</b>	<b>0.0000</b>
067	NOTTOWAY	.2547	<b>.2447</b>	<b>(0.0100)</b>
068	ORANGE	.4258	<b>.3842</b>	<b>(0.0416)</b>
069	PAGE	.3181	<b>.3143</b>	<b>(0.0038)</b>
070	PATRICK	.2439	<b>.2866</b>	<b>0.0427</b>
071	PITTSYLVANIA	.2401	<b>.2475</b>	<b>0.0074</b>
072	POWHATAN	.3969	<b>.4230</b>	<b>0.0261</b>
073	PRINCE EDWARD	.3043	<b>.3265</b>	<b>0.0222</b>
074	PRINCE GEORGE	.2345	<b>.2513</b>	<b>0.0168</b>

**Composite Index (CI)****Comparison of the FY2010-2012 CI to the Proposed FY2012-2014 CI**

<b>Div</b>	<b>Division Name</b>	<b>2010-2012</b>	<b>2012-2014</b>	<b>*Change from FY2010-12 to FY2012-14</b>
075	PRINCE WILLIAM	.4036	.3787	(0.0249)
077	PULASKI	.2870	.3052	0.0182
078	RAPPAHANNOCK	.8000	.8000	0.0000
079	RICHMOND COUNTY	.3562	.3599	0.0037
080	ROANOKE COUNTY	.3460	.3657	0.0197
081	ROCKBRIDGE	.5050	.4903	(0.0147)
082	ROCKINGHAM	.3489	.3675	0.0186
083	RUSSELL	.2113	.2430	0.0317
084	SCOTT	.1821	.1831	0.0010
085	SHENANDOAH	.4030	.3706	(0.0324)
086	SMYTH	.2100	.2178	0.0078
087	SOUTHAMPTON	.2896	.3171	0.0275
088	SPOTSYLVANIA	.3594	.3326	(0.0268)
089	STAFFORD	.3362	.3305	(0.0057)
090	SURRY	.6956	.7642	0.0686
091	SUSSEX	.3213	.3375	0.0162
092	TAZEWELL	.2487	.2695	0.0208
093	WARREN	.4204	.3890	(0.0314)
094	WASHINGTON	.3166	.3533	0.0367
095	WESTMORELAND	.5020	.4649	(0.0371)
096	WISE	.1885	.2045	0.0160
097	WYTHE	.3142	.3204	0.0062
098	YORK	.3727	.4049	0.0322
101	ALEXANDRIA	.8000	.8000	0.0000
102	BRISTOL	.3132	.3190	0.0058
103	BUENA VISTA	.1932	.1895	(0.0037)
104	CHARLOTTESVILLE	.6560	.6861	0.0301
106	COLONIAL HEIGHTS	.4428	.4448	0.0020
107	COVINGTON	.2597	.2775	0.0178
108	DANVILLE	.2470	.2653	0.0183
109	FALLS CHURCH	.8000	.8000	0.0000
110	FREDERICKSBURG	.7763	.6511	(0.1252)
111	GALAX	.2695	.2725	0.0030
112	HAMPTON	.2690	.2912	0.0222
113	HARRISONBURG	.4133	.4274	0.0141
114	HOPEWELL	.2285	.2376	0.0091

## Composite Index (CI)

### Comparison of the FY2010-2012 CI to the Proposed FY2012-2014 CI

Div	Division Name	2010-2012	2012-2014	*Change from FY2010-12 to FY2012-14
115	LYNCHBURG	.3643	.3727	0.0084
116	MARTINSVILLE	.2263	.2175	(0.0088)
117	NEWPORT NEWS	.2778	.2934	0.0156
118	NORFOLK	.3004	.3102	0.0098
119	NORTON	.3042	.3274	0.0232
120	PETERSBURG	.2255	.2516	0.0261
121	PORTSMOUTH	.2497	.2755	0.0258
122	RADFORD	.3251	.2630	(0.0621)
123	RICHMOND CITY	.4945	.4779	(0.0166)
124	ROANOKE CITY	.3582	.3728	0.0146
126	STAUNTON	.4024	.3987	(0.0037)
127	SUFFOLK	.3433	.3530	0.0097
128	VIRGINIA BEACH	.4060	.4110	0.0050
130	WAYNESBORO	.3609	.3690	0.0081
131	WILLIAMSBURG	.8000	.8000	0.0000
132	WINCHESTER	.5125	.4645	(0.0480)
134	FAIRFAX CITY	.8000	.8000	0.0000
135	FRANKLIN CITY	.3047	.3276	0.0229
136	CHESAPEAKE	.3465	.3678	0.0213
137	LEXINGTON	.4601	.5059	0.0458
138	EMPORIA	.2602	.2594	(0.0008)
139	SALEM	.3516	.3628	0.0112
140	BEDFORD CITY	.2970	.3132	0.0162
142	POQUOSON	.3524	.3816	0.0292
143	MANASSAS	.4005	.3599	(0.0406)
144	MANASSAS PARK	.3311	.2600	(0.0711)
202	COLONIAL BEACH	.3785	.3527	(0.0258)
207	WEST POINT	.2668	.2838	0.0170
<b>NOTE:</b>	*If the <b>School Division's Composite Index change has decreased</b> then the Locality's Share of cost is <b>LESS</b> and the State's Share is MORE			
	*If the <b>School Division's Composite Index change has increased</b> then the Locality's Share of cost is <b>MORE</b> and the State's Share is LESS			